EXHIBIT 1

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential

```
Page 1
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
               SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
RICHARD KADREY, et
                                 )
                     al.,
Individual and Representative
                                 )
                                    Lead Case No.
Plaintiffs,
                                    3:23-cv-03417-VC
     V.
Meta Platforms, Inc.,
Defendant.
     ** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL **
         30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                META PLATFORMS, INC.
             BY: MICHAEL PATRICK CLARK
                  Denver, Colorado
                  VOLUMES I AND II
            Wednesday, November 13, 2024
            Thursday, November 14, 2024
           Reported stenographically by:
    Michelle Kirkpatrick, RDR-CRR-CRC-CRI, FCRR
               DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
            1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812
               Washington, D.C. 20036
                   (202) 232-0646
```

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential

	Page 2
1	PURSUANT TO WRITTEN NOTICE AND FED. R.
2	CIV. P. 30(b)(6) TO META PLATFORMS, INC., & 30(b)(1)
3	TO MICHAEL CLARK, the 30(b(6) videotaped deposition
4	of META PLATFORMS, INC., BY: MICHAEL PATRICK CLARK,
5	called by the Plaintiffs, was taken commencing at
6	7:12 p.m. on Wednesday, November 13, 2024, and
7	continued to Thursday, November 14, 2024, at
8	1144 15th Street, Suite 2300, Denver, Colorado
9	80202, before Michelle Kirkpatrick, RDR-CRR-CRC-CRI,
10	FCRR, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Federal
11	Certified Realtime Reporter.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential

_	
	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
	For the Individual and Representative Plaintiffs:
2	JOSHUA M. STEIN, ESQ.
	PEDRO N. MILLÁN, ESQ.
3	Boies Schiller Flexner LLP
	44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
4	San Francisco, California 91404
1	Phone: 415.293.6813
5	Email: jstein@bsfllp.com
	pmillan@bsfllp.com
6	pmilianessiip. com
	KENNETH S. BYRD, ESQ.
7	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP, ESQ.
	150 4th Avenue North Suite 1650
8	Nashville, Tennessee 37219
	Phone: 615.313.9000
9	Email: kbyrd@lchb.com
10	MARGAUX POUEYMIROU, ESQ.
	Joseph Saveri Law Firm, LLP
11	601 California Street, Suite 1000
	San Francisco, California 94108
12	Phone: 415.500.6800
	Email: mpoueymirou@saverilawfirm.com
13	
	For the Defendant and the witness:
14	KATHLEEN R. HARTNETT, ESQ.
	COOLEY LLP
15	3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor
	San Francisco, California 94111-4004
16	Phone: 415.693.2071
17	Email: khartnett@cooley.com
18	
19	Also Present: NIKKI VO, ESQ.
20	Meta In-house Counsel
21	SCOTT HATCH, CLVS
22	Videographer

Page 193

- 1 were being used for that processing.
- 2 BY MS. POUEYMIROU:
- 4 which -- do you have any idea of a time period when
- 5 Books3 would be going through the data approval
- 6 process for Llama 2, which I believe launched in
- 7 July of 2023?
- 8 A As I stated, Llama -- or Books3 was part
- 9 of Llama 1, and that approval was used -- inherited
- 10 in its use in Llama 2.
- 11 Q Oh. So it didn't go through --
- 12 A It didn't go through a new approval --
- 13 Q I see.
- 14 A -- but the mitigations were given for the
- data pipelines to the engineers that were working on
- 16 them.
- 17 Q Okay. And then for Llama 3 -- because
- 18 I've seen old sets -- and we're going to look at
- 19 one -- going through a reapproval, a 3P dataset
- 20 approval, sent to you, in fact, for Llama 3.
- 21 Did Books3 go through another -- go
- 22 through a reapproval process? Or is it just that it

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential

Michael Patrick Clark 30(b)(6), Vol I & Vol II

Page 194 1 inherited it? 2 So between the transition from Llama being 3 built in FAIR to Llama being built in the 4 Generative AI organization, that is when the gen AI 5 private group was built in Privacy, which is where the data review process occurred. 6 7 That process remained the same through part of the beginning of Llama 3, which would have 8 been the summer of '23. 9 10 Uh-huh. Q 11 Α Or beginning, actually, in the spring 12 of '23. 13 February of '23. Q 14 There's --Α 15 It's okay. Yeah. Q 16 Α Spring of 2023.

- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A That process, using the tracker that was
- in a spreadsheet, remained the same through until
- 20 about November of 2023, where a person that was
- 21 formally part of privacy review, Sara Chugh, took
- 22 over dataset reviews and started tracking them in

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential

Michael Patrick Clark 30(b)(6), Vol I & Vol II

Page 195 1 new ways. 2 Sara did that through spring of '24, when 3 another individual, Brooks Cutter, took over that 4 process. 5 At that point, the dataset review process 6 went from the tracker into a tool called AIDC. 7 Where there was documentation of the 8 review that was -- that was done by counsel, that 9 was moved over. And where there weren't, or where it was in the tracker where it was an intermediary 10 11 spot, had gone through -- well, Sara had it -- some of 12 those went into the LaMa tool. Some of those got 13 reviewed so that there was a record of mitigation 14 and the decision. 15 And so there is a -- there is a mix. 16 so if you have something for me to look at, I would 17 be happy to look at that and --18 Okay. I know we have two minutes, but I 19 just want to make sure I understand. 20 So Books3 for Llama 1 goes through an SRT 2.1 process. 22 Α That is correct.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential

```
Page 199
     custody of Ms. Hartnett.
 1
 2
                MR. BYRD:
                            Yeah.
 3
                MS. HARTNETT:
                                Yes.
                (Clark 30(b)(6) deposition adjourned at
 4
 5
     1:05 p.m.)
                (Clark 30(b)(6) Deposition Exhibits 613
 6
     through 618 not attached to this transcript and are
 7
 8
     in the custody of Ms. Harnett per agreement of
 9
     counsel.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
```

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential

	Page 200
1	STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, Michelle Kirkpatrick, a Registered
3	Diplomate Reporter, Federal Certified Realtime
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that previous to the
5	commencement of the examination, the deponent was duly
6	sworn by me to testify to the truth.
7	I further certify that this deposition
8	was taken in shorthand by me at the time and place
9	herein set forth and was thereafter reduced to
10	typewritten form, and the foregoing constitutes a true
11	and correct transcript.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to, employed by, nor of counsel for any of the parties
14	or attorneys herein nor otherwise interested in the
15	outcome of this action.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
17	my hand this 18th day of November, 2024.
18	
19	Mihelle Kulovile
	I takelle burger a
20	MICHELLE KIRKPATRICK
	RDR-CRR-CRC-CRI, FCRR
21	Registered Diplomate Reporter
22	Federal Certified Realtime Reporter